

Course Evaluations: POLI_SCI 395 Studying Public Opinion, Spring 2018

Questions were asked to six students who had Matt Nelsen assigned as their TA. All six of these students completed the TA evaluation form.

Question	Mean
Q: How prepared was your TA? (1 = not at all prepared; 5 = extremely prepared)	5
Q: How clearly did your TA present material? (1 = not at all clearly; 5 = extremely clearly)	5
Q: How much did your TA help you develop critical thinking skills? (1 = not at all; 5 = extremely)	4.5
Q: How helpful was your TA's feedback on assignments? (1 = not at all helpful; 5 = extremely helpful)	5
Q: For your level of understanding, were your TA's explanations and instructions too complicated, too simple, or about right?	100 percent of students answered "about right"
Q: How clearly did your TA answer questions? (1 = not at all; 5 = extremely)	4.8
Q: How easy did you find it to meet with your TA? (1 = not at all easy; 5 = extremely easy)	5

Q: How knowledgeable in the course content was your TA?

- Very
- Very. He was a tremendous help in writing the research paper, which was a type of writing I had never had to use before. He was knowledgeable, accessible, and very useful
- Matt was always knowledgeable about different assignments, and was a tremendous help in my research project.
- Very

Q: Overall, how well did your TA teach?

- Matt's help was excellent: clear, individualized, and timely
- Really well! Matt was extremely willing to meet at basically any time to explain concepts and the actual steps of doing an experimental survey and turning it into a research paper.
- It was much more of a collaborative relationship. He was very helpful in writing the paper for this class.
- Very well! Matt always offered great constructive input on different assignments, and made sure I was actually taking something away from my project.
- Matt was great and very helpful